



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/856,982	05/30/2001	Yoshio Yanase	Yoshio Yanase 2001-0615A 6344 EXAMINER	
513	7590 10/15/2003			
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			NGUYEN, SANG H	
SUITE 800	.EEI N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/850,982	YANASE ET AL			
navioury nation	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sang H Nguyen	28/7			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires $oldsymbol{\exists}$ months from the mailing date of					
 b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1 17(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above of checked. Any reply recorded by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	sion and the corresponding amount of the Estatutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) $oximes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below):					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit. b) exhibit. or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed. None					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>26,30,31,49,44 and 45</u>					
Claim(s) rejected: 21-25,27-29,32-39 and 41-13					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10 Other:					
··· •					

Continuation of 2a NOTE: the proposed amendments to claims raise new issues that would further consideration and search within limitations "a laser beam directed perpendicularly to said sem conductor wafer" and "said laser beam, wherein at least one of said multiple light optics is a high-angle light optic having a detecting angle that is form 5 degrees to 20 degrees relative to said laser beam and at least another of said multiple light optics is a low-angle light optic having a detecting angle that is form 25 degrees relative to said laser beam" in claims 21 and 32

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Schoology Center 2800

Frank & Fort